

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/027,222	12/20/2001	Ranjani V. Parthasarathy	57314US002	9052
•	590 10/25/2004	•	EXAMINER	
· 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY PO BOX 33427			HANDY, DWAYNE K	
ST. PAUL, MI		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		PAPER NUMBER
			1743	
			DATE MAILED: 10/25/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/027,222	PARTHASARATHY, ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dwayne K. Handy	1743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u>						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>39-42, 44, 45, 53, 54, 64 and 65</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. ☐ Other:						
		Jill A. Warden SPE Art Unit: 1743				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the Examiner has not been persuaded by applicant's argument that the reference "Gjerde" does not teach an exchange material that is partly coated by a negatively charged polymer. Applicant has argued that because Gjerde also teaches the use of protonation of those groups that this does not constitute an exchange material as required by the claim. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicants appear to be treating the teaching of protonation from Gjerde as an irreversible change in the exchange material that totally eliminates the presence of the anionic polymer groups. This is not the case with ionic exchange material. The protons merely associate with the polymer groups. Applicant also appears to be arguing beyond the scope of the claim. The protons are associated with the negatives groups and this gives an overall neutral net charge. The Examiner agrees with applicant on this point. What the Examiner believes, however, is that an anion exchange material that has negative polymeric groups in which some of the groups are protonated still meets the limitation of the claim since the anionic exchange material is still a surface that is still partially has a negatively charged polymer. The Examiner apologizes for any confusion this may have caused.

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700